



## Reports



### Safety of rAAV2/2-ND4 Gene Therapy for Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy

Leber hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) is the most commonly recognized mitochondrial disease. It typically occurs in young male adults, causing painless, acute, and profound vision loss. It presents asynchronously with the second eye almost always involved within weeks or months, a phenotypic declaration nearly pathognomonic for LHON. Visual prognosis is poor and therapy wanting.<sup>1</sup>

Leber hereditary optic neuropathy is caused by mutations in mitochondrial genes encoding proteins of the respiratory chain complex I. Approximately 70% of subjects with LHON carry the point mutation G11778A in the *ND4* gene encoding NADH dehydrogenase protein subunit 4 (*ND4*), accounting for the most severe phenotype.<sup>2</sup> Retinal ganglion cells are primarily affected by this mitochondrial dysfunction, leading to apoptotic cell death and ensuing optic nerve atrophy.<sup>1</sup>

Our therapy is based on a technology that demonstrably rescued an induced defect in respiratory chain complex I in rat retinas and prevented retinal ganglion cell degeneration.<sup>3</sup> rAAV2/2-ND4 (GS010) is a recombinant, replication-defective, adeno-associated virus, serotype 2, containing a modified cDNA encoding the human wild-type mitochondrial ND4 protein and supporting its allotopic expression,<sup>3</sup> that is, the expression of a mitochondrial gene by the nucleus and subsequent co-translation and importation of the wild-type protein into the mitochondrial matrix. rAAV2/2-ND4 was investigated in an open-label single-center Phase I/II clinical trial that included 4 dose-escalation cohorts ( $9 \times 10^9$  vector genomes [vg]/eye,  $3 \times 10^{10}$  vg/eye,  $9 \times 10^{10}$  vg/eye,  $1.8 \times 10^{11}$  vg/eye) and an extension cohort ( $9 \times 10^{10}$  vg/eye). Fifteen subjects with LHON carrying the *ND4*-G11778A mutation were prospectively enrolled. Each subject received a single intravitreal injection of rAAV2/2-ND4 in the worse-seeing eye. The study design included an initial follow-up period of 48 weeks, followed by longer-term follow-up for an additional 4 years. The primary

Table 1. Ocular Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events and Outcomes of the Most Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events

|                                           | rAAV2/2-ND4 doses                 |                                      |                                       |                                        | All (N = 15)       |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|
|                                           | $9 \times 10^9$ vg/eye<br>(n = 3) | $3 \times 10^{10}$ vg/eye<br>(n = 3) | $9 \times 10^{10}$ vg/eye<br>(n = 6)* | $1.8 \times 10^{11}$ vg/eye<br>(n = 3) |                    |
| All Ocular TEAEs                          | 4/3                               | 10/3                                 | 29/6                                  | 11/3                                   | 56/15              |
| Anterior chamber inflammation             | 0                                 | 2/2                                  | 10/6                                  | 2/2                                    | 14/10 <sup>†</sup> |
| Subconjunctival hemorrhage                | 0                                 | 0                                    | 3/3                                   | 0                                      | 3/3 <sup>‡</sup>   |
| Allergic conjunctivitis                   | 1/1                               | 0                                    | 0                                     | 0                                      | 1/1                |
| Punctate serous detachment                | 0                                 | 0                                    | 0                                     | 1/1                                    | 1/1 <sup>#</sup>   |
| Eye pain                                  | 0                                 | 1/1                                  | 1/1                                   | 0                                      | 2/2 <sup>#</sup>   |
| Keratitis                                 | 0                                 | 1/1                                  | 4/4                                   | 2/2                                    | 7/7                |
| Ocular hypertension                       | 2/2                               | 3/3                                  | 2/2                                   | 3/2                                    | 10/9 <sup>§</sup>  |
| Vitreous hemorrhage                       | 0                                 | 0                                    | 2/2                                   | 0                                      | 2/2                |
| Vitritis                                  | 1/1                               | 3/2                                  | 6/5                                   | 3/3                                    | 13/11 <sup>#</sup> |
| Positive Seidel test                      | 0                                 | 0                                    | 1/1                                   | 0                                      | 1/1                |
| Cataract extraction (elective)            | 1/1                               | 0                                    | 1/1                                   | 0                                      | 2/2                |
| Outcome of the 3 Most Common Ocular TEAEs |                                   |                                      |                                       |                                        |                    |
| Anterior chamber inflammation             |                                   |                                      |                                       |                                        |                    |
| Recovered                                 | 0                                 | 2/2                                  | 10/6                                  | 2/2                                    | 14/10              |
| Ongoing                                   | 0                                 | 0                                    | 0                                     | 0                                      | 0                  |
| Vitritis                                  |                                   |                                      |                                       |                                        |                    |
| Recovered                                 | 0                                 | 3/2                                  | 6/5                                   | 3/3                                    | 12/10              |
| Ongoing                                   | 1/1                               | 0                                    | 0                                     | 0                                      | 1/1 <sup>  </sup>  |
| Ocular hypertension                       |                                   |                                      |                                       |                                        |                    |
| Recovered                                 | 2/2                               | 3/3                                  | 2/2                                   | 3/2                                    | 10/9               |
| Ongoing                                   | 0                                 | 0                                    | 0                                     | 0                                      | 0                  |

TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event; VG = vector genomes.

Results are presented as N events/N subjects.

\*Includes subjects of cohorts 3 and 5.

<sup>†</sup>Two events were considered unrelated to rAAV2/2-ND4 or the procedure; the remaining 12 events were considered to be probably related to rAAV2/2-ND4.

<sup>‡</sup>All events were considered to be probably related to the procedure.

<sup>§</sup>Of 10 events, 6 were considered to be related to rAAV2/2-ND4, and 4 were related to the procedure.

<sup>||</sup>Subject withdrew consent after week 48.

<sup>#</sup>All events were considered to be probably related to rAAV2/2-ND4.

objective was the safety and tolerability of escalating doses of rAAV2/2-ND4. Secondary objectives included bio-dissemination and immunogenicity of rAAV2/2-ND4 and evaluation of visual functions. The study received approval of the French Ethics Committee and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki; it was registered on [ClinicalTrials.gov](http://ClinicalTrials.gov) (NCT02064569).

Subjects were mostly male ( $n = 13$ ) with an average age of 47 years. Vision loss duration was heterogeneous, ranging from 8 to 271 months at enrollment (Table S1, available at [www.aaojournal.org](http://www.aaojournal.org)). The incidence, type, severity, and presumed causality of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were collected for each subject at all study visits. Each event was recorded as a separate adverse event even when occurring simultaneously (e.g., anterior and vitreous inflammation). Visual parameters measured included best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), Pelli-Robson contrast sensitivity, 15-hue color vision, Humphrey Visual Field 24-2, Octopus perimetry, microperimetry, visual evoked potentials, and pattern electroretinogram.

At week 96, 96 TEAEs were reported involving all 15 subjects, including 40 systemic TEAEs and 56 ocular TEAEs, consistent with previous studies using intravitreal injections (Table 1).<sup>4,5</sup> There were no unexpected TEAEs, no serious adverse events related to the treatment or procedure, and no suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions. No deaths and no TEAEs leading to study discontinuation were reported. Ninety of the 96 TEAEs (94%) were mild in intensity. Of the 56 ocular TEAEs, 2 events occurred in 1 untreated eye and 2 were elective cataract extraction. Thirty-four (61%) and 18 (32%) TEAEs were considered treatment and procedure related, respectively. Fifty-one ocular TEAEs (91%) were mild. One moderate event of intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation (34 mmHg) occurred in the only subject who did not receive a pretreatment IOP-lowering agent. Another subject concomitantly experienced an event of ocular pain and moderate elevation of IOP (38 mmHg), followed by 2 severe events: anterior chamber inflammation and vitritis.

The most frequent ocular TEAEs were intraocular inflammation and IOP elevation. Twenty-seven events of intraocular inflammation were reported in 13 subjects, starting from 7 to 541 days posttreatment. All were mild, except 2 severe events that occurred in a single subject. All were deemed probably related to treatment, except for 2 TEAEs that occurred in 1 untreated eye (subject had a history of idiopathic uveitis). Most affected subjects were treated for their ocular inflammation with topical anti-inflammatory agents. Two subjects were given oral steroids: the subject with severe intraocular inflammation and another subject with mild vitritis.

Ten events of IOP elevation following intravitreal injection were reported in 9 subjects, starting 4 hours to 30 days after injection. Most cases were mild, except for 2 subjects with moderate IOP elevation. Day-of-treatment IOP elevation was deemed to be procedure related (due to the injection). Delayed IOP elevation was deemed treatment related, and such subjects developed subsequent intraocular inflammation, precipitating steroid use.

All ocular events resolved spontaneously or after appropriate therapy with IOP-lowering or anti-inflammatory therapy, except for 1 subject with ongoing mild vitritis (0.5+ vitreous cell, no vitreous haze, no treatment required at last visit), subsequently lost to follow-up but without documented worsening of TEAE. At week 96, no related TEAEs required ongoing treatment. No anatomic sequelae were documented by fundus examination or

spectral domain OCT, and no vision loss was reported due to treatment or TEAEs. A total of 40 systemic TEAEs were reported over 96 weeks; none were related to rAAV2/2-ND4 or the study procedures (Table S2, available at [www.aaojournal.org](http://www.aaojournal.org)).

Although this study was neither designed nor powered to ascertain efficacy, a clinically significant improvement in BCVA was noted in the treated eyes of 6 of 14 subjects at week 96 (similar proportions at week 48 and week 78). A between-eye difference in visual acuity change from baseline favoring the treated eye was observed at week 96 in the subset of subjects with disease duration  $\leq 2$  years and BCVA  $\geq 20/12000$  at inclusion ( $-0.278$  logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution [logMAR] [+14 Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study {ETDRS} letters] 95% confidence interval [CI],  $-0.853$  to  $+0.297$ ). This between-eye difference was also observed at week 48 and week 78 ( $-0.338$  logMAR [+17 ETDRS letters] 95% CI,  $-0.856$  to  $+0.180$  and  $-0.398$  logMAR [+20 ETDRS letters] 95% CI,  $-1.021$  to  $+0.225$ , respectively).

Our study demonstrates that rAAV2/2-ND4 is safe and well tolerated 2 years after a single unilateral intravitreal administration. Our 2 ongoing Phase III clinical studies in similar subjects, RESCUE (vision loss duration of  $\leq 6$  months) and REVERSE (vision loss duration of  $>6$  months to 1 year), should help refine and inform the trends of improvement in visual outcome that we have reported and further validate the safety and tolerability of allotopic expression in mitochondrial disease.

CATHERINE VIGNAL, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

SCOTT URETSKY, MD<sup>3</sup>

SERGE FITOUSSI, MD<sup>3</sup>

ANNE GALY, PhD<sup>3</sup>

LAURE BLOUIN, MSc<sup>3</sup>

JEAN-FRANÇOIS GIRMENS, MD<sup>1</sup>

SAMUEL BIDOT, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

NITZA THOMASSON, PhD<sup>3</sup>

CÉLINE BOUQUET, PhD<sup>3</sup>

SONIA VALERO, PHARM<sup>3</sup>

SANDRINE MEUNIER, MSc<sup>3</sup>

JEAN-PHILIPPE COMBAL, PhD<sup>3</sup>

BERNARD GILLY, PhD<sup>3</sup>

BARRETT KATZ, MD, MBA<sup>3,4</sup>

JOSÉ-ALAIN SAHEL, MD<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre d'Investigation Clinique 1423, Inserm-DGOS, Centre Hospitalier National d'Ophthalmologie des Quinze-Vingts, Paris, France; <sup>2</sup>Rothschild Ophthalmology Foundation Hospital, Paris, France; <sup>3</sup>GenSight Biologics, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>GenSight Biologics Inc., New York, New York; <sup>5</sup>Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, Paris, France; <sup>6</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Presented in part at: North American Neuro-Ophthalmology Society conference in Big Island, Hawaii, March 3–8, 2018; and the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology conference to be held in Honolulu, Hawaii, April 29 to May 3, 2018.

Financial Disclosure(s): The author(s) have made the following disclosure(s): S.U., S.F., A.G., L.B., C.B., S.V., S.M., B.G., B.K.: Employees – GenSight Biologics.

C.V., J-A.S., S.B.: Consultants – GenSight Biologics.

J-A.S.: Funding – LabEx LIFESENSES (ANR-10-LABX-65), ERC Synergy “HELMHOLTZ”, Banque publique d'Investissement, and

## Reports

Foundation Fighting Blindness; Cofounder — GenSight Biologics.  
 C.V.: Grants and personal fees — GenSight Biologics.  
 J-F.G.: Grants and personal fees — GenSight Biologics; Personal fees — Novartis, Bayer, Allergan, Sanofi.  
 S.B.: Consultant — Santhera Pharmaceuticals (Liest, Switzerland).  
 N.T. and J.P.C.: other — Former employee of GenSight Biologics.  
 GenSight Biologics (Paris, France) fully funded and sponsored the study.  
 The sponsor participated in the design, data analysis, and interpretation of the results, and in the preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript.

**HUMAN SUBJECTS:** Human-derived materials and human medical records were part of this study protocol. Study protocol was approved by the French Ethics Committee. All studies adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The data sets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because the study is still ongoing, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Author Contributions:**

Conception and design: Vignal, Sahel, Uretsky, Fitoussi, Galy, Combal, Valero, Meunier, Thomasson, Bouquet, Gilly  
 Data collection: Vignal, Sahel, Girmens, Bidot  
 Analysis and interpretation: Vignal, Sahel, Blouin, Uretsky, Katz, Fitoussi

Obtained funding: Not applicable  
 Overall responsibility: Blouin, Uretsky, Bidot, Katz

**Correspondence:**

Barrett Katz, MD, MBA, GenSight Biologics, 74 rue du Faubourg Saint-Antoine, 75012 Paris, France. E-mail: bkatz@gensight-biologics.com.

## References

---

1. Rasool N, Lessell S, Cestari DM. Leber hereditary optic neuropathy: bringing the lab to the clinic. *Semin Ophthalmol.* 2016;31:107–116.
2. Yu-Wai-Man P, Turnbull DM, Chinnery PF. Leber hereditary optic neuropathy. *J Med Genet.* 2002;39:162–169.
3. Cwerman-Thibault H, Augustin S, Lechaue C, et al. Nuclear expression of mitochondrial ND4 leads to the protein assembling in complex I and prevents optic atrophy and visual loss. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev.* 2015;2:15003.
4. Koilkonda RD, Yu H, Chou TH, et al. Safety and effects of the vector for the Leber hereditary optic neuropathy gene therapy clinical trial. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2014;132:409–420.
5. Koilkonda RD, Yu H, Talla V, et al. LHON gene therapy vector prevents visual loss and optic neuropathy induced by G11778A mutant mitochondrial DNA: biodistribution and toxicology profile. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55:7739–7753.